

Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) forecast and its relevance

P. Guhathakurta

SPI and Drought Monitoring



It is a normal, recurrent feature of climate that occurs in virtually all climate zones, from very wet to very dry.







Type of Drought and time response



Interrelationships between meteorological, agricultural, hydrological and socio-economic drought. Source: National Drought Mitigation Center, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, USA)







Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) in Drought Monitoring

- The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) is a tool which was developed primarily for defining and monitoring drought (McKee et al 1993). It allows an analyst to determine the rarity of a drought at a given time scale (temporal resolution) of interest for any region with historic data. It can also be used to determine periods of anomalously wet events.
- In 2009, the participants at the Inter-Regional Workshop on Indices and Early Warning Systems for Drought held at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln issued "The Lincoln Declaration on Drought Indices" (Hayes et al., 2011). There were fifty-four experts from all regions agreed on the use of a universal meteorological drought index for more effective drought monitoring and climate risk and gave recommendation to WMO
- The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) recommends, that all national meteorological and hydrological services should use the SPI for monitoring of dry spells (Press report December 2009, WMO No. 872).
- The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) releases "Standardized Precipitation Index User Guide", WMO-No. 1090 in 2012 giving details and some key points: about using SPI. It is desirable one should go through this before applying SPI.





Interpretation:

- For each time step, precipitation of the preceding t months is accumulated, where t is referred to as the time scale. The time series is first fitted with a model distribution to the data (for precipitation series, the Gamma distribution is typically used) Subsequently it is transformed to values of the standard normal distribution for each calendar month separately.
- The resulting time series has no seasonality and takes values of the standard normal distribution, where negative values indicate below average water availability. Positive values indicate greater than median rainfall; negative values indicate less than median rainfall.
- The interpretation of SPI is strictly probabilistic. As SPI has units of the standard normal distribution, its values can be directly related to probability of occurrence. The standardization implies also that SPI is independent of the mean conditions, it do not differs in magnitude between different regions and is thus suitable to analyse the synchronicity of drought events. This enables an easy and direct comparison between locations with different climate. The possibility to compute SPI for different time scales (t) allows for an adaptation of the index to slowly or fast evolving environmental or societal systems.
- SPI is straight forward to interpret as negative (positive) values are directly related to a shortage(surplus) of water availability at a given location relative to the normal conditions. As SPI is probabilistic index it has a straight forward relation to occurrence frequencies. For example, extreme droughts (SPI ≤ -2), are expected to occur with a chance of 2.3%.







Example of equiprobability transformation from fitted gamma distribution to the standard normal distribution.





SPI

- Positive SPI values indicate greater than median precipitation and negative values indicate less than median precipitation. Because the SPI is normalized, wetter and drier climates can be represented in the same way; thus, wet periods can also be monitored using the SPI.
- A drought event occurs any time when the SPI is continuously negative and reaches an intensity of -1.0 or less. The event ends when the SPI becomes positive. Each drought event, therefore, has a duration defined by its beginning and end, and an intensity for each month that the event continues.

Category number	Categories	SPI range
8	Extremely wet	2.00 or more
7	Severely wet	1.50 to 1.99
6	Moderately wet	1.00 to 1.49
5	Mildly wet	0 to 0.99
4	Mildly dry	0 to -0.99
3	Moderately dry	-1.00 to -1.49
2	Severely dry	- 1 .50 to -1.99
1	Extremely dry	-2.00 or less



INDIA METEOROLOGICAL DEPARTMENT



India Meteorological Department monitors meteorological drought in India using two well defined indices viz.

- 1. Aridity Anomaly Index (AAI)
- 2. Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)

Both the indices can also identifies agricultural drought while the second one can also be considered for monitoring hydrological drought if period consider is 6 –12 months.

- IMD has started monitoring drought situations in district scale using SPI since January,
 2013 in monthly scale as a part of climate monitoring.
- To meet the demand from Agricultural Division of IMD for its National level Weekly agricultural Advisory, we have started producing SPI district map in every week based on cumulative recent 4 weeks since June 2013.
- Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) for the cumulative four weeks period is being generated operationally in every week (Thursday/Friday) to identify the districts experiencing moisture stress situation for preparation of appropriate agromet advisories.
- We have also started generating Standardized Precipitation Index weekly forecast since the 1st week of Southwest monsoon 2014 using IMD GFS/MME weekly district rainfall forecast as input.





Drought situation during the SW monsoon season 2014 and 2015 : Monthly District SPI maps JUNE JULY AUGUST SEPTEMBER



District SPI for the cumulative months during the SW monsoon season 2014 and 2015



JUNE

No. of Districts and percentage of area of the country under different categories in 2015

CUMMULATIVE 2 MONTHS

Categories(SPI range)	Percentage Area	No. of Districts		
Extremely wet(2.00 or more)	3.40%	13		
Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99)	3.96%	24		
Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49)	15.84%	72		
Mildly wet(0 to 0.99)	46.77%	250		
Mildly dry(0 to -0.99)	25.48%	192		
Moderately dry(-1.00 to -1.49)	3.53%	23		
Severely dry(-1.50 to -1.99)	0.63%	7		
Extremely dry(-2.00 or less)	0.35%	4		

		Jul	У	June-July		
	Categories(SPI range)	Percentage Area	No. of Districts	Percentage Area	No. of Districts	
	Extremely wet(2.00 or more)	5.07%	18	5.33%	22	
	Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99)	3.6%	19	3.78%	14	
	Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49)	8.19%	37	8.89%	39	
S	Mildly wet(0 to 0.99)	14.18%	107	21.56%	149	
	Mildly dry(0 to -0.99)	33.66%	227	40.87%	246	
	Moderately dry(-1.00 to -1.49)	13.86%	81	10.17%	61	
	Severely dry(-1.50 to -1.99)	9.34%	52	6.5%	35	
	Extremely dry(-2.00 or less)	12.09%	45	2.91%	19	



INDIA METEOROLOGICAL DEPARTMENT



No. of Districts and percentage of area of the country under different categories in 2015

AUGUST

SEPTEMBER



	Augus	st	June-August			
Categories(SPI range)	Percentage Area	No. of Districts	Percentage Area	No. of Districts		
Extremely wet(2.00 or more)	0.38%	4	0.93%	6		
Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99)	0.66%	7	2.33%	14		
Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49)	2.81%	22	8.32%	34		
Mildly wet(0 to 0.99)	23%	122	24.58%	130		
Mildly dry(0 to -0.99)	48.24%	281	36.21%	245		
Moderately dry(-1.00 to -1.49)	14.97%	90	14.54%	82		
Severely dry(-1.50 to -1.99)	6.2%	35	10.54%	52		
Extremely dry(-2.00 or less)	3.74%	25	2.55%	22		
	Septe	mber	June- Se	ptember		
Categories(SPI range)	Septe Percentage Area	mber No. of Districts	June- Se Percentage Area	ptember No. of Districts		
Categories(SPI range) Extremely wet(2.00 or more)	Septe Percentage Area 0 %	mber No. of Districts 0	June- Se Percentage Area 0.45%	ptember No. of Districts 3		
Categories(SPI range) Extremely wet(2.00 or more) Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99)	 Septe Percentage Area 0% 0.75% 	mber No. of Districts 0 4	June- Se Percentage Area 0.45% 1.22%	ptember No. of Districts 3 8		
Categories(SPI range) Extremely wet(2.00 or more) Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99) Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49)	 Septe Percentage Area 0 % 0.75% 4.69% 	mber No. of Districts 0 4 22	June- Se Percentage Area 0.45% 1.22% 6.60%	ptember No. of Districts 3 8 8 27		
Categories(SPI range) Extremely wet(2.00 or more) Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99) Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49) Mildly wet(0 to 0.99)	 Septe Percentage Area 0% 0.75% 4.69% 30.54% 	mber No. of Districts 0 4 22 142	June- Se Percentage Area 0.45% 1.22% 6.60% 24.19%	ptember No. of Districts 3 8 8 27 114		
Categories(SPI range) Extremely wet(2.00 or more) Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99) Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49) Mildly wet(0 to 0.99) Mildly dry(0 to -0.99)	 Septe Percentage Area 0% 0.75% 4.69% 30.54% 35.76% 	mber No. of Districts 0 4 22 142 225	June- Se Percentage Area 0.45% 1.22% 6.60% 24.19% 37.12%	ptember No. of Districts 3 8 8 27 114 234		
Categories(SPI range) Extremely wet(2.00 or more) Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99) Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49) Mildly wet(0 to 0.99) Mildly dry(0 to -0.99) Moderately dry(-1.00 to -1.49)	 Septe Percentage Area 0% 0.75% 4.69% 30.54% 35.76% 13.72% 	No. of Districts 0 4 22 142 225 88	June- Se Percentage Area 0.45% 1.22% 6.60% 24.19% 37.12% 14.62%	Ptember No. of Districts 3 8 27 114 234 99		
Categories(SPI range) Extremely wet(2.00 or more) Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99) Moderately wet(1.00 to 1.49) Mildly wet(0 to 0.99) Mildly dry(0 to -0.99) Moderately dry(-1.00 to -1.49) Severely dry(-1.50 to -1.99)	 Septe Percentage Area 0% 0.75% 4.69% 30.54% 35.76% 13.72% 8.7% 	mber No. of Districts 0 4 222 142 225 88 88 59	 June- Se Percentage Area 0.45% 1.22% 6.60% 24.19% 37.12% 14.62% 11.02% 	Ptember No. of Districts 3 8 27 114 234 99 63		

Comparative Statistics for the Southwest monsoon 2014 & 15



	June- Septer	nber 2015	June- September 2014		
Categories(SPI range)	Percentage Area	No. of Districts	Percentage Area	No. of Districts	
Extremely wet(2.00 or more)	0.45%	3	0.27%	3	
Severely wet(1.50 to 1.99)	1.22%	8	1.27%	11	
Moderately wet (1.00 to 1.49)	6.60%	27	2.84%	18	
Mildly wet(0 to 0.99)	24.19%	114	26.61%	137	
Mildly dry(0 to -0.99)	37.12%	234	40.89%	228	
Moderately dry(-1.00 to -1.49)	14.62%	99	14.02%	88	
Severely dry(-1.50 to -1.99)	11.02%	63	7.78%	54	
Extremely dry(-2.00 or less)	4.77%	35	6.31%	48	

Total 30.4% area of the country was under dry condition during SW monsoon 2015 compare to 28.11% that of 2014.





National Agricultural Drought Assessment and Monitoring (NADAM) Reports of Mahalanobis National Crop Forecast Centre, Department of Agriculture & Cooperation (NCFC) are also using SPI products of IMD for comparison of drought assessment.



MINISTRY OF EARTH SCIENCES

HYDROMET SECTION, PUNE





Districts experienced two years consecutive drought during 2014 and 2015

There were 190 and 197 districts were under drought conditions (all categories i.e. moderate, severe and extremely severe together) during the year 2014 and 2015. List of the districts which have experienced drought conditions in consecutive two years i.e. 2014 and 2015 is presented in next slide.

Total 105 number of districts which contribute 15 % of country area were affected by consecutive two years of drought situations





HURRAR HURRARD AGAA AGAA AGAA AGAA AGAA AMAD MIRDNAMCAR MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MAGAARDY Prob MAGAARDY MAGAARDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MARARDY Prob MARARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MADARSHDY MADARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARASHDY MARASHDY MARASHDY MARARSHDY MARARSHDY MARASHDY MARASHDY	State	No. of districts	District	State	No. of districts	District	State	No. of districts	District
Alienteringeneration Marine Barbane Marine Barbane Marine Barbane Marine Barbane Accordingeneration Marine Barbane Provide Barbane						AGRA			BEED
Mathematican			HYDERABAD			ALLAHABAD			NANDED
Main Main <th< td=""><td>Telangana</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Telangana	4							
Name Name <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>MEDAK</td><td></td><td></td><td>AMBEDKAR NAGAR</td><td>Maharashtra</td><td>6</td><td>OSMANABAD</td></th<>			MEDAK			AMBEDKAR NAGAR	Maharashtra	6	OSMANABAD
Manuachal Pradesh Act and benefact Namachal Pradesh Act and benefact Attain Assam 1 SIBSAGAR Megnalaya Assam Assam 1 SIBSAGAR Megnalaya Assam Assam 1 SIBSAGAR Megnalaya Assam Assam SIBSAGAR Megnalaya Assam Assam SIBSAGAR Megnalaya Babanok Assam SIBSAGAR Megnalaya Babanok Mana Assam Assam Megnalaya Babanok Assam Assam Megnalaya Babanok Megnalaya Mana Assam Megnalaya Mana Mana Mana Mana Assam Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana Mana						AUKAIYA			
Aunachia Pradesh PLSI Addinive PLSI Addinive PLOIR Instruction Reparation Meglulaya Intel Hold Assam 1 SISSAGNR Meglulaya Intel Hold Ausan Ausan Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Ausan Ausan Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Bihar Ausan Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Ausan Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Ausan Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Ausan Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Punjab Ausan Punjab Pu			RANGAREDDY			AZAIVIGARH			SATARA
Multikular Pradesin IIKAP BARA Assam 1 SIBSAGAR Assam 1 SIBSAGAR GOPAICANI GOPAICANI GOPAICANI GOPAICANI Bihar 3 FM02PURA SAMARSA GORANDO Gujarat SAMARSA Gujarat AMBALA AMBALA MAMADA AMBALA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMADA MAMANDA MAMADA MAMANDA MAMADA MAMANDA MAMADA MAMANDA MAMADA MAMANDA MAMANDA MAMANDA MAMANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANAN	Arupachal Dradach	2	EAST KAIVIENG			BALLIA			LATUR
Assim 1 SISAGA Network Assim 1 SISAGA Internet Anana Anana France Ponjab Horison Bihar Anana France Ponjab Horison NowADA Parka France Ponjab Horison Bihar Danos France Parka Parka Bihar Danos France Parka Bihar Danos France Parka Golgiarit Tapi Mathona Parka Markina Parka Parka Parka Autor Autor Parka Parka Autor Autor Parka Parka Markina Parka Parka Parka Ma	Arunachai Prauesh	2	TIRAP				Moghalava	1	
Asism 1 SIRSAGAR FROZEPUR FROZEPUR Asism SOPAISANI FRANA Punjab A Hanon SOPAISANI SOPAISANI PUNJAC PUNJAC PUNJAC PUNJAC PUNJAC Bihor SAHARA PUNJAC PUNJ							wegilalaya	1	
ABRIA ABRIA CONTRACT CONTRACT <td>Assam</td> <td>1</td> <td>SIBSAGAR</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>DEORIA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>EEROZEPI IR</td>	Assam	1	SIBSAGAR			DEORIA			EEROZEPI IR
Bihar Condicianu Nambai Condicianu Nambai Condicianu Nambai Condicianu Nambai Condicianu Nambai Condicianu Nambai Condicianu Nambai Condicianu Nambai Gujurat Nals Condicianu Co			ARARIA			FTAH			HOSHIARPUR
Bitar NAMADA NAMADA Bitar NAMADA FAZBADO MARSA Gujarat SHARSA FAZBADO FAZBADO Gujarat NAMSS FAZBADO FAZBADO Gujarat MARSA FAZBADO FAZBADO FAZBADO Gujarat MARSA FAZBADO FAZBADO FAZBADO Gujarat MARSA FATRADO FAZBADO FAZBADO FAZBADO Gujarat MARSA FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO MARSA FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO MARSA FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO FATRADO MARSA FATRADO <td< td=""><td></td><td>GOPALGANI</td><td></td><td></td><td>FTAWAH</td><td>Punjab</td><td>4</td><td></td></td<>			GOPALGANI			FTAWAH	Punjab	4	
Bihar 5 PURREA FARRUKHAADD PARATRA Gujarat 3 AARISA PARATRA Bugarat 3 MARMADA PARATRA Bugarat Tapi MARMADA PARAMURA MARMADA Tapi MARMADA PARAMURA MARMADA MARMADA MARMADA MARMADA MARMADA MARMADA MAR		NAWADA			FAIZABAD			MANSA	
Gujarti SANABAD FATEHPUR PANBAD Gujarti DANOS PANBAD PANBAD ABBAL MABMADA MABMADA PANBAD ABBAL MABADA MABADA PANBAD MARMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR PANBAD MARMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR PANBAD MARMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR PANBAD MARMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR PANBAD PANBAD MARMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR PANBAD PANBAD MAHMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR PANBAD MAHMATA NAGAR MAHMATA NAGAR PANBAD PANBAD <td>Bihar</td> <td>5</td> <td>PURNEA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>FARRUKHABAD</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>CHATRA</td>	Bihar	5	PURNEA			FARRUKHABAD			CHATRA
SHARSS GONDA Mark MAG Anerwa ABOS MARSA MARSA MARSA MARSA AP TAP MARADA MARSA MARSA AP MARADA MARADA MARSA MARSA AP MARADA MARADA MARSA MARSA AP MARADA MARADA MARADA MARADA AP MARADA MARADA MARADA MARADA AP MARADA MARADA MARADA MARADA AP MARDA MARADA MARADA MARADA AP MARENDRAGARH MARENDRAGARH MARADA MARADA AP MARCH MARDA MARDA MARDA AP MARCH MARDA MARDA MARDA AP MARCH MARDA MARDA MARDA AP MARADA MARDA MARDA MARDA APA MARADA MARADA MARDA MARDA AP MARADA MARADA MARADA MARDA AP MARADA MARADA MARADA MARADA AP MARADA MARADA MARADA MARADA AP MARADA MARADA MARADA<						FATEHPUR			DHANBAD
AMAGA DAMAGA MAMADA Api ARMADA ARMADA Api ARMADA ARMADA Api ARMADA ARMANAANAR MARAMAYANAGR Utarakhand All MARAMAYANAGR MARAMAYANAGR MARAMAYANAGR MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGR MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGR MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYANAGA MARAMAYAGA<			SAHARSA			GONDA			GARHWA
Addition			DANGS			GORAKHPUR	Jharkhand	5	PALAMU
Adjaha TaPi MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MARTOPRAGARH MARTOPRAGARH MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga ROHTAK MARTOPRAGARH MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga ROHTAK MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga ROHTAK MAHAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga ROHTAK MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga ROHTAK MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga ROHTAK MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga RANGA MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga RANAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR Martopraga RANAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR MAHAMAYA NAGAR <t< td=""><td>Culorat</td><td>2</td><td>NARMADA</td><td></td><td></td><td>HAMIRPUR</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Culorat	2	NARMADA			HAMIRPUR			
Martan Martan<	Gujarat	3	TADI						RANCHI
Haryana MARLA Utar Pradesh IAAUN Utarakhand 1 UDHAM SINGH NAGAR HAryana NAHENDRAGARH HANSI IANUGIC			TAPI			MAHAMAYA NAGAR			
Haryana MAHENDRAGARH HANPUR Colonand C ANGR ROHTAK Norta Protein Andel Ansign Ansign ROHTAK Protein Norta Protein Ansign Surgus Kurnu Shettan Kurnu Shettan Kannau Surgus Surgus Anternado Patival Surgus Surgus Surgus Patival Kannau Kannau Surgus Surgus Maternado Kannau Kannau Surgus Surgus Maternado Kannau Kannau Surgus Surgus Maternado Kannau Surgus Surgus Surgus Maternado Surgus Surgus Surgus Surgus			AMBALA			JALAUN	Uttarakhand	1	UDHAM SINGH NAGAR
Harbine Hansi Image <						JAUNPUR	ottarakhana	-	
Haryana BIMAN Contrace YOTIBA PHULE NA Chabingarh 3 JASHPUR Haryana 9 BIMVAN KANNAU Chabingarh SURGUJA KURUKSHETRA KANNAU KANPUR CITY SURGUJA KANTHAL KANPUR CITY ACHTAK KANPUR CITY			MAHENDRAGARH	Littar Pradesh	ΔΔ	JHANSI			JANJGIR
Haryana BHIWANI KAITMAI KAITMAI HIWANI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI FAILMAI FAILMAI KAITMAI KAITMAI Himachai Pradesh Z MAINAU MAINPURI Idea MARANU SIGAR MAINPURI Idea Idea Maratarba Z MAIARAIGANJ Idea Idea Maratarba YabGiR MAIARAIGANJ Idea Idea MARANU YabGiR NERNUA SIGANI Idea Idea MARANUA YabGiR SIGANITANPUR Idea Idea Idea Idea MARANUA SIGANITANPUR			POHTAK	ottarrradesh			Chhathisgarh	3	
Haryana 9 Human Kukusherrak Kurhuk Kukusherrak Karhuk KanPur CIY Control KATHAL KANPUR DEHAT KANPUR CIY KanPur CIY KanPur CIY RAUKAL KANPUR CIY KanPur CIY KanPur CIY KanPur CIY RAUKAL KANPUR CIY KanPur CIY KanPur CIY KanPur CIY RAUKAL KANPUR CIY KanPu			RHIWANI						
Madhya Pradesh Anti Anal Anti Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anti Anal Anti Anti Anti Anal A	Haryana	9							JONOOJA
Madhya Pradesh AAGAHAT NANGAG Image (Sampa) Madhya Pradesh ALSAGAR Image (Sampa) Madhya Pradesh Image (Sampa) Image (Sampa) Madhya Pradesh Image (Sampa) Image (Sampa) Madhya Pradesh Image (Sampa) Image (Sampa) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>KAITHAI</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			KAITHAI						
ATEHABAD NOUSHINAGAR Image: Constraint of the second						KALISHAMBI			
http://www.intermediate http://www.intermediate PALWAL MAU MAU MANURI MANUR MANUR MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI NARARAIGANI MARARAIGANI PATAPGARH PALAGHAT RAE BAREILLY BALAGHAT RAE BAREILLY MANDH SUTAPUR JABALPUR SUTAPUR JABALPUR SUTAPUR MARNIGHPUR MAHOBA PANNA MAHOBA SEONI MAHOBA SEONI MAHOBA SEONI MAHOBA SEONI MAHOBA SEONI MAHOBA			FATEHABAD			KUSHINAGAR			
PALWAL MAU MAU MAU MAU MAU MAINPURI MAHARAJGANJ MAHARAJGANJ MATAPICAI MERUT MERUT MATAPA MIRZAPUR MIRZAPUR MIRZAPUR MIRZAPUR MIRZAPUR MAU MIRZAPUR MIRZAPUR MALGRAT RAFATAPGARH MIRZAPUR BIND SAARHANPUR MAU MANOH SITAPUR MAHANPUR JABALPUR SITAPUR SITAPUR MARSINGHPUR SONBHADRA MAHOBA VANAC MAHOBA MAHOBA SAGAR MAHOBA MAHOBA SINGRAULI MAHOBA MAHOBA						LUCKNOW			
Hinachal Pradesh KINAUR MAINPURI Image: Comparison of the comp			PALWAL			MAU			
Himachal Pradesh 2 MAARA BiDAR MERRUT Image: Comparison of the comparison of			KINNAUR			MAINPURI			
Karnataka BIDAR MEERUT MEERUT Karnataka PLIDAR MIRZAPUR Initiana (Initiana) Initiana) Namataka PA PLIDBHT PLIDBHT Initiana) Namataka PA PLIDBHT PLIDBHT Initiana) Namataka PLIDBHT RATAPGARH Initiana) Initiana) Namataka PLIDAR RAMPUR Initiana) Initiana) Initiana) Namataka PLIDAR RAMPUR Initiana) Initiana) Initiana) Initiana) Madhya Pradesh 13 REWA RAMPA Initiana) Initiana) <td>Himachal Pradesh</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>MAHARAJGANJ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Himachal Pradesh	2				MAHARAJGANJ			
Karnataka BIDAR MIRZAPUR MIRZAPUR A ADGIR PLIUBHT RACHARAPOR RACHARAPOR BALAGHAT BALAGHAT RAE BARFILLY RAE BARFILLY RACHARPOR BHIND CHHATARPUR SHAHJAHANPUR Image: Comparison of the comparison			SIRMAUR			MEERUT			
Karnataka 2 NADGIR PILIBHIT PRATAPGARH PRATAPGARH PRAT			BIDAR			MIRZAPUR			
Madika PRATAPGARH PRATAPGARH RAE BAREILLY BALAGHAT RAMPUR BHIND RAMPUR CHHATARPUR SHAHJAHANPUR DAMOH SITAPUR JABALPUR SULTANPUR MORENA SONBHADRA NARSINGHPUR UNNAO PANNA NARSINGHPUR REWA MAHOBA SONBHADRA Image (Comparing (Comparin	Karnataka	2	VADCID			PILIBHIT			
Madhya Pradesh BALAGHAT RAE BAREILLY RAMPUR NAGNYA Pradesh CHHATARPUR RAHJAHANPUR RAHJAHANPUR NARSINGHPUR SULTANPUR Image: Sultan Sul			YADGIR			PRATAPGARH			
Madhya Pradesh BHIND RAMPUR Image: stand sta			BALAGHAT			RAE BAREILLY			
Madhya Pradesh CHHATARPUR SHAHJAHANPUR JABALPUR SITAPUR SULTANPUR NARSINGHPUR SONBHADRA ONBADRA PANNA REWA MAHOBA SGAR SIGAULI SIGAULI SHAHJAHANPUR HAHOBA Image: Signification of the second of the			BHIND			RAMPUR			
Madhya Pradesh DAMOH SITAPUR SUTANPUR MARSINGHPUR MARSINGHPUR UNNAO PANNA MAHOBA MAHOBA REWA SON HAHOBA SAGAR SON HAHOBA SITAPUR HAHOBA HAHOBA			CHHATARPUR			SHAHJAHANPUR			
JABALPUR SULTANPUR MORENA SONBHADRA NARSINGHPUR UNNAO PANNA REWA SAGAR SAGAR SEONI SONBHADRA SHAHDOL SILTANPUR SILTANPUR MAHOBA			DAMOH			SITAPUR			
Madhya Pradesh MORENA MORENA SONBHADRA Madhya Pradesh NARSINGHPUR UNNAO International (International (Internaticut))) Nambulary			JABALPUR			SULTANPUR			
Madhya Pradesh NARSINGHPUR UNNAO PANNA PANNA MAHOBA REWA MAHOBA PANO SAGAR SAGAR PANDL SINGRAULI PANDL PANDL SINGRAULI PANDL PANDL			MORENA			SONBHADRA			
PANNA REWA SAGAR SEONI SHAHDOL SINGRAULI	Madhva Pradesh	13	NARSINGHPUR			UNNAO			
REWA REWA SAGAR SEONI SHAHDOL SINGRAULI	maanya i raacsii	15	PANNA			MAHOBA			
SAGAR SEONI SHAHDOL SINGRAULI			REWA						
SEONI SHAHDOL SINGRAULI			SAGAR						
SHAHDOL SINGRAULI			SEONI						
SINGRAULI			SHAHDOL						
			SINGRAULI						

Area weighted SPI values of the States for Jun-Sep 2014 & 2015 calculated from the district SPI values

	AREA WEI	GHTED SPI		AREA WEIGHTED SPI		
STATE			STATE			
	Jun-Sep 2014	Jun-Sep 2015		Jun-Sep 2014	Jun-Sep 2015	
ANDAMAN & NICOBAR	-0.21	0.02	MADHYA PRADESH	-0.78	-0.47	
ANDHRA PRADESH	-0.71	0.34	MAHARASHTRA	-0.60	-1.07	
ARUNACHAL PRADESH	-0.17	-0.03	MANIPUR	-1.61	-0.21	
ASSAM	-0.21	-0.43	MEGHALAYA	-0.14	-0.23	
BIHAR	-0.71	-1.11	MIZORAM	-1.12	-0.53	
CHHATHISGARH	-0.37	-0.75	NAGALAND	-0.94	-0.45	
DELHI	-1.34	0.27	ORISSA	0.42	-0.55	
GOA	0.52	-0.68	PUNJAB	-1.30	-0.64	
GUJARAT	-0.05	-0.11	RAJASTHAN	0.13	0.46	
HARYANA	-1.59	-0.85	SIKKIM	0.54	0.76	
HIMACHAL PRADESH	-1.18	-0.53	TAMILNADU	-0.14	-0.17	
J & K	0.79	0.88	TELANGANA	-1.04	-0.70	
JHARKHAND	-0.73	-0.66	TRIPURA	0.08	0.18	
KARNATAKA	0.36	-0.39	UTTAR PRADESH	-1.74	-1.58	
KERALA	0.29	-1.18	UTTARAKHAND	-0.37	-0.43	
LAKSHADWEEP	-0.19	-0.53	WEST BENGAL	-0.50	0.27	



भारत मौसम विज्ञान विभाग INDIA METEOROLOGICAL DEPARTMENT







All India Drought years based on SPIEXTREMELY SEVERE DROUGHT YEAR (4): 19SEVERE DROUGHT YEAR (5): 19MODERATE DROUGHT YEAR (14): 19

- : 1965, 1972, 2002 AND 2009
- : 1901, 1905, 1911, 1918, 1979 AND 1987
- : 1902, 1904, 1907, 1913, 1915, 1920, 1941,
 - 1951, 1966, 1974, 1982, 2004, 2014, 2015

Consecutive two years : 1901-02 ; 1904 - 05; 1965 -66; 2014-15.





WEEKLY SPI MONITORING AND FORECAST

- In this forecast we have used Actual district rainfall for the past three weeks and the district cumulative rainfall forecast based on IMD Global Forecasting system (GFS)/MME model for the coming week.
- The base period of the SPI computation is 1901-2000.
- Thus SPI forecast is generated every Thursday/Friday for the cumulative four weeks period consisting of one advance week along with past three weeks to identify the regions with prevailing or beginning/ending of the extremely/severely/moderately dry/wet conditions.
- The SPI forecast maps were uploaded in IMD Pune website in every Friday.





Whether one week can have significant contribution in changing drought situation?

In the year 2015 on several occasions dry/wet conditions largely changes in a week. For example from the week 32 to 33, number of extremely severe dry conditions districts decreased from 42 to 20 and severely dry condition from 56 to 45; from week no. 36 to 37 opposite happened as number of extremely severe dry conditions districts increased from 24 to 72 and severely dry condition from 84 to 102. Again next week i.e. in week no. 38 it decreased to 24 and 52 respectively. The SPI forecast in all these cases has indicated the changes in drought situation one week advance. Thus this type of SPI forecast is particularly helpful in these cases to identify the districts well in advance (one week) for the starting or cessation of drought conditions.





Week No. 22 (Input rainfall forecast GFS)



Manifest 1 1 m

Week No. 23 GFS rainfall Forecast was not available

Week No. 24 GFS rainfall Forecast was not available

MINISTRY OF EARTH SCIENCES INDIA METEOROLOGICAL DEPARTMENT HYDROMET SECTION, PUNE





गैसम विज्ञान विभाग OROLOGICAL DEPARTMENT





Table 2.1

Catanoria		Forecast								
categorie	•	1	2	w	4	5	9	7	8	Total
	1	2	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	10
	2	1	1	6	u U	3	0	0	0	20
	3	2	3	7	34	5	1	0	0	52
3	4	2	2	14	106	49	2	1	3	179
Ş.		0	1	2	88	100	18	7	3	169
	9	0	0	0	12	31	18	9	6	76
	7	0	0	0	3	9	8	5	5	30
	80	0	0	0	0	6	8		7	26
Total		7	11	30	205	203	55	27	24	562

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within \pm 1 category, within \pm 2 category etc.

	Table 2.2										
Correct In <u>+</u> 1 cat In <u>+</u> 2 cat In <u>+</u> 3 cat In <u>+</u> 4 cat In <u>+</u> 5 cat In <u>+</u> 6 cat In											
Freq	246	225	65	23	m N	0	0	0			
%	43.8	40.0	11.6	4.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Percentage of Forecast within ±1 Category : 83.8%



Table 3.1

Catarria	-	Forecast								
categorie	2	1	2	в	4	5	6	7	8	Total
	1	2	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	10
	2	1	1	2	m	0	0	0	1	8
	З	1	з	10	7	3	0	0	1	25
2	4	0	12	19	108	34	3	2	2	180
1	.5	0	5	13	61	126	23	7	4	239
	6	0	0	2	3	29	14	11	3	64
	7	0	0	0	2	6	4	7	3	22
	8	0	0	•	0	2	0	1	11	14
Total		4	25	48	188	200	44	28	25	362

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within <u>+</u> 1 category, within <u>+</u> 2 category etc.

	Table 3.2										
	Correct F/C In±1cat In±2cat In±3cat In±4 cat In±5cat In±6cat In±7ca										
Freq	279	202	58	19	2	1	1	0			
%	49.6	35.9	10.3	3.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.0			

Percentage of Forecast within ±1 Category : 85.6%





Week No. 27 (Input rainfall forecast MME)



Table 4.1

Cataonia										
categorie		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
	1	4	3	2	1	2	0	0	0	12
	2	M	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	11
	З	2	5	18	7	0	1	0	0	33
3	4	1	4	25	170	28	1	N	0	231
2		0	0	3	38	143	4	3	0	211
	6	0	0	0	1	21	18	4	м	47
	7	٠	0	0	0	1	×.	6	0	10
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	7
Total		10	15	50	240	195	27	16	9	562

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage or correct forecast, within + 1 category, within + 2 category etc.

	Table 4.2										
1	Correct F/C	in <u>e</u> i cat	in <u>e</u> 2 cert	In <u>+</u> 3 cat	in <u>+</u> 4 cat	In <u>+</u> 5 cat	in <u>e 6 cat</u>	in <u>+</u> 7 cat			
Freg	368	164	23	3	2	0	0	0			
3	65.5	29.2	41	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Percentage of Forecast within + 1 Category: 94.7%



Week No. 29 (Input rainfall forecast GFS)

Table 5.1

Catanonia	Categories				Fore	cast				
categories	•	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
	1	59	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	65
	2	10	7	6	7	2	0	0	1	33
	з	12	11	23	15	3	1	0	1	66
3	4	4	11	27	94	29	6	4	1	176
¥.	5	3	3	2	38	88	19	6	1	160
	6	3	1	0	3	14	10	5	2	38
	7	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	4	14
	8	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	9
Total		92	36	59	159	138	46	17	14	561

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within + 1 category, within + 2 category etc.

	Table 5.2										
	Correct F/C	in <u>e</u> 1 cet	ln <u>+</u> 2 cat	In <u>+</u> 3 cat	In <u>±</u> 4 cat	In ± 5 cat	ln <u>+</u> 6 cat	ln <u>+</u> 7 cat			
Freq	285	192	55	18	5	4	1	1			
%	50.8	34.2	9.8	3.2	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.2			

Percentage of Forecast within ±1 Category: 85%





भारत मौसम विज्ञान विमाग INDIA METEOROLOGICAL DEPARTMENT

in the second

NUMBER OF STREET, STRE

No. A DESCRIPTION OF

the second second second

-

Week No. 30 GFS rainfall Forecast was not available





Week No. 31 (Input rainfall forecast MME)



Table 6.1 Forecast Categories Total з 2 5 3 1 ļ з 6 0 з Total

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within + 1 category, within + 2 category etc.

	Table 6.2										
	Correct F/C	In <u>s</u> 1 cat	ln <u>+</u> 2 cat	In <u>+</u> 3 cat	In <u>+</u> 4 cat	In <u>+</u> 5 cat	ln <u>+</u> 6 cat	ln <u>+</u> 7 cat			
Freq	273	226	37	12	6	6	1	1			
%	48.6	40.2	6.6	2.1	1.1	1.1	0.2	0.2			

Percentage of Forecast within ± 1 Category: 88.8%





From week 32 to 33, number of extremely severe dry conditions districts decreased from 42 to 20 and severely dry condition from 56 to 45



Percentage of Forecast within + 1 Category: 91.1%

Percentage of Forecast within ± 1 Category: 86.8%





Week No. 34 (Input rainfall forecast GFS)

Table 9.1

Contactoria					Fore	cast				
categorie	•	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
	1	18	1	4	10	0	0	0	0	33
ī	2	5	15	13	15	3	0	0	0	51
	3	0	8	43	34	2	0	0	0	87
	4	0	0	9	202	45	3	0	2	261
1	5	0	0	0	6	66	9	5	5	91
	6	0	0	0	1	5	4	4	3	17
	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	9
	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	7
Total		23	24	69	268	121	19	12	20	556

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within \pm 1 category, within \pm 2 category etc.

Table 9.2										
	Correct F/C	in <u>e</u> 1 cat	in <u>+</u> 2 cat	in <u>+</u> 3 cat	In <u>+</u> 4 cat	In <u>+</u> 5 cat	In <u>+</u> 6 cat	in <u>+</u> 7 cat		
Freq	357	145	34	18	2	0	0	0		
%	64.2	26.1	6.1	3.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		

Percentage of Forecast within + 1 Category: 90.3%



Week No. 35 GFS rainfall Forecast was not available







From week no. 36 to 37 opposite happened as number of extremely severe dry conditions districts increased from 24 to 72 and severely dry condition from 84 to 102.

Week No. 36 (Input rainfall forecast GFS)



					Tab	le 10.1					
Catagoria			Forecast								
categorie		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total	
	1	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	
	2	6	68	9	0	1	0	0	0	84	
	3	0	9	80	10	0	1	0	0	100	
1	4	0	2	13	197	11	0	1	1	225	
5	5	0	0	0	12	52	6	3	0	73	
	6	0	0	0	1	12	10	2	3	28	
	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	2	14	
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	10	
Total		29	80	102	220	76	20	18	13	558	

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within \pm 1 category, within \pm 2 category etc.

	Table 10.2										
	Correct F/C	in <u>e</u> 1 cat	ln <u>+</u> 2 cat	In <u>e</u> 3 cat	In <u>+</u> 4 cat	In <u>e</u> 5 cat	In <u>+</u> 6 cat	In <u>e</u> 7 cat			
Freg	446	99	9	3	1	0	0	0			
%	79.9	17.7	1.6	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Percentage of Forecast within + 1 Category: 97.7%



Table 11.1 Forecast Categories Total з 5 0 6 0 з Total

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within ± 1 category, within ± 2 category etc.

	Table 11.2										
	Correct F/C	in <u>e</u> i cat	in <u>e</u> 2 cat	in <u>e</u> 3 cat	in <u>±</u> 4 cat	In <u>+</u> 5 cat	In <u>+</u> 6 cat	ln <u>+</u> 7 cat			
Freq	399	121	34	2	1	0	0	0			
%	71.6	21.7	6.1	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Percentage of Forecast within + 1 Category: 93.4%





Week No. 38 (Input rainfall forecast MME)



					Tab	le 12.1					
Contraction in			Forecast								
categorie	•	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total	
	1	7	8	5	1	1	0	2	0	24	
	2	1	12	25	9	4	0	0	1	52	
	3	3	8	23	43	9	2	0	0	88	
3	4	0	5	23	105	66	10	4	1	214	
1	5	0	0	2	36	67	24	9	3	141	
	6	0	0	1	1	4	7	4	6	23	
	7	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	3	9	
	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	6	
Total		11	33	79	196	152	45	26	15	557	

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within ± 1 category, within ± 2 category etc.

Table	12.2	
		-

	Correct F/C	In <u>e</u> 1 cat	ln <u>+</u> 2 cat	In <u>+</u> 3 cat	In <u>+</u> 4 cat	In <u>+</u> 5 cat	ln <u>+</u> 6 cat	in <u>+</u> 7 cat
Freq	226	248	62	16	2	0	3	0
*	40.6	44.5	11.1	2.9	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.0

Percentage of Forecast within + 1 Category: 85.1%

Week No. 39 (Input rainfall forecast MME)



Table 13.1

Categories		Forecast								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
	1	40	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	43
Actual	2	2	50	8	0	1	0	0	0	61
	3	0	3	69	7	1	0	0	0	80
	4	1	1	12	177	10	0	0	0	201
	5	0	0	1	11	128	5	0	1	146
	6	0	0	0	0	8	16	0	0	24
	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Total		43	55	90	197	149	21	1	4	560

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within ± 1 category, within ± 2 category etc.

Table 13.2											
	Correct F/C	in ±1 cat	in <u>+</u> 2 cat	In <u>+</u> 3 cat	in <u>±</u> 4 cat	In ± 5 cat	in <u>e 6</u> cat	in ±7 cat			
Freq	484	67	4	5	0	0	0	0			
%	86.4	12.0	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

Percentage of Forecast within + 1 Category: 98.4%

Performance of SPI forecast was best for the last week i.e. week ending 30^{th} September as the correct forecast was more than 86% and forecast within <u>+</u> 1 Category was more than 98%. Not only that even out of 43 districts experienced extremely severe drought in that week, 40 districts were predicted one week back when there was only 11 districts under extremely severe drought condition.

ALL ALL

Categories		Forecast								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
Actual	1	266	60	34	26	8	1	3	1	399
	2	61	284	129	81	23	2	1	3	584
	3	35	99	414	320	37	9	7	7	928
	4	12	58	223	1770	476	46	22	18	2625
	5	3	10	28	386	1183	187	68	24	1889
	6	3	1	3	32	165	170	70	39	483
	7	0	0	0	7	29	52	94	35	217
	8	1	0	0	1	10	13	26	100	151
Total		381	512	831	2623	1931	480	291	227	7276

The following table obtained from the above contingency table gives the number and percentage of correct forecast, within ± 1 category, within ± 2 category etc.

	Correct F/C	In <u>+</u> 1 cat	In <u>+</u> 2 cat	In <u>+</u> 3 cat	In <u>+</u> 4 cat	In <u>+</u> 5 cat	In <u>+</u> 6 cat	In <u>+</u> 7 cat
Freq	4281	2289	500	146	40	12	6	2
%	58.8	31.5	6.9	2.0	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.0

90.3 % of forecast was within <u>+</u> 1 Category







Conclusions:

Southwest monsoon rainfall for two consecutive years was below 90 % of LPA (88% in 2014 and 86% in 2015) but their monthly distributions were completely opposite in nature which may have significant effect on crop production activities.

Also there was spatial variation in the dry regions in this two years. Except UP, no other states experienced consecutive two years drought situations but there are many districts which experienced consecutive two years drought like situation. The SPI values computed from all India rainfall for both of these years were in moderate drought category (-1.2 in 2014 and -1.4 in 2015).

The performances GFS /MME based SPI forecast is fully depended on the skill of GFS model. Instead of district rainfall forecast , SPI forecast has better advantage in monitoring dry/wet condition over the region as SPI better represent dry conditions or water stress over a region than than actual/percent departure of rainfall.

The performances of the SPI forecast model was quite good for the season (90.3 % of forecast was within \pm 1 Category whereas 59% was correct forecast). Even in some week it was more than 98% within \pm 1 Category while more than 86% was correct forecast.

The SPI forecast has rightly detected the sudden changes in the dry/wet conditions along with identifying the regions/districts also.





Thanks



