# Impact of turbulence of cloud droplet growth: from DNS to LES and beyond

# Wojciech W. Grabowski

Mesoscale and Microscale Meteorology (MMM) Laboratory, NCAR, Boulder, Colorado, USA

# Gustavo C. Abade and Hanna Pawlowska

Institute of Geophysics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland









Cloud droplets grow by the diffusion of water vapor (i.e., by condensation) and by collision/coalescence.

For both growth mechanisms, cloud turbulence and cloud dilution ("entrainment") plays a significant and still poorly understood role.

For gravitational collisions, width of the droplet spectrum grown by diffusion is the key...

The width of the droplet spectrum also affects the amount of solar radiation reflected back to space by clouds...



Cloud droplets grow by the diffusion of water vapor (i.e., by condensation) and by collision/coalescence.

For both growth mechanisms, cloud turbulence and cloud dilution ("entrainment") plays a significant and still poorly understood role.

For gravitational collisions, width of the droplet spectrum grown by diffusion is the key...

The width of the droplet spectrum also affects the amount of solar radiation reflected back to space by clouds...



# turbulent cloud

# interfacial instabilities



calm (lowturbulence) environment

cloud base (CCN activation)





#### The Water Content of Cumuliform Cloud

By J. WARNER, Radiophysics Laboratory, C.S.I.R.O., Sydney

(Manuscript received April 5, 1955)



Cumulus clouds are heterogeneous and on average strongly diluted...

*Tellus*, 1955



turbulent laboratory jet

Cumulus clouds are heterogeneous and *on average* strongly diluted...

Observed cloud droplet spectra averaged over ~100m:



(Jensen et al. JAS 1985)

Can small-scale turbulence explain the width of the droplet spectra in (almost) undiluted cloudy volumes?

DNS simulations with sedimenting droplets *growing by the diffusion of water vapor* for conditions relevant to cloud physics ( $\epsilon$ =160 cm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-3</sup>)



Vaillancourt et al. JAS 2002



Main conclusion: small-scale turbulence has a very small effect...

Vaillancourt et al. JAS 2002

What about those DNS limitations?

Argument: if *Re* increases (i.e., the range of scales involved increases), can supersaturation fluctuation increase as well?

Label	$N_{\rm drops}/V ({\rm cm}^{-3})$	LWC (g m <sup>-3</sup> )	$\tau_s$ (s)	<i>R</i> (µm)	St
Series 1	130	1.2	2.5	13	$3.5  imes 10^{-2}$
Series 2	130	0.07	7	5	$5  imes 10^{-3}$

Label	$N^3$	L (cm)	$T_L$ (s)	Re <sub>λ</sub>	$\varepsilon (m^2 s^{-3})$	$\sigma_s^0(\%)$	$v_{\rm rms}~({\rm m~s^{-1}})$	$N_{\rm drops}~( imes 10^5)$
Α	64 <sup>3</sup>	9	2.0	40	$10^{-3}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$4 \times 10^{-2}$	0.93
в	128 <sup>3</sup>	18	3.5	65	$9.0  imes 10^{-4}$	$3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.0  imes 10^{-2}$	8.2
С	$256^{3}$	38	5.5	105	$10^{-3}$	$6.1  imes 10^{-3}$	$7.0  imes 10^{-2}$	71.2
D	512 <sup>3</sup>	70	7.6	185	$1.1  imes 10^{-3}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.0 imes10^{-1}$	320

## Lanotte et al. JAS 2009



FIG. 3. Log–log plot of the spreading of droplet size distribution  $\sigma_{R^2}(T_L)$  for the square radius  $R^2$ , measured after one large-scale eddy turnover time  $T_L$  as a function of the Reynolds number  $\text{Re}_{\lambda}$ .

## Lanotte et al. JAS 2009



Natural clouds feature Re<sub> $\lambda$ </sub> ~ 10<sup>4</sup>, then  $\sigma_{\rm R}^2$  ~ 100 µm<sup>2</sup> !

FIG. 3. Log–log plot of the spreading of droplet size distribution  $\sigma_{R^2}(T_L)$  for the square radius  $R^2$ , measured after one large-scale eddy turnover time  $T_L$  as a function of the Reynolds number  $\text{Re}_{\lambda}$ .

Lanotte et al. JAS 2009

What about those DNS limitations?

Argument: if Re increases (i.e., the range of scales involved increases), can supersaturation fluctuation increase as well?

Yes, but only to some point...

## The brake on supersaturation fluctuations:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \alpha w - \frac{S}{\tau_{qe}}$$
$$\tau_{qe} \sim 1 \text{sec}$$

TABLE 1. Time constant characterizing supersaturation. (Values of  $\tau = 1/(a_2I)$  s for p = 771 mb, T = 4.3°C)

	Droplet concentration (cm <sup>-3</sup> )					
$\frac{(\mu m)}{(\mu m)}$	100	300	500	1000		
2	14.1	4.7	2.8	1.4		
3	8.7	2.9	1.7	0.87		
5	4.9	1.6	0.98	0.49		
	2.3	0.77	0.46	0.23		

Politovich and Cooper, JAS 1988

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \equiv 0 \longrightarrow S_{qe} = \alpha w \tau_{qe}$$

For eddies with time-scale larger than  $\tau_{qe}$ , S is limited to  $S_{qe}$  !!!

So within a uniform cloud (e.g., the adiabatic core), small-scale fluctuations of the supersaturation are likely have a small effect.

But what about the impact of larger eddies, meters and tens of meters?

#### Effects of Variable Droplet Growth Histories on Droplet Size Distributions. Part I: Theory

WILLIAM A. COOPER

National Center for Atmospheric Research,\* Boulder, Colorado (Manuscript received 4 April 1988, in final form 14 November 1988)

The key idea: droplets observed in a single location within a turbulent cloud arrive along variety of air trajectories...

# Eddy-hopping mechanism (Grabowski and Wang ARFM 2013)

Droplets observed in a single location within a turbulent cloud arrive along variety of air trajectories:



- large scales are needed to provide different droplet activation/growth histories;
- small scales needed to allow hopping from one large eddy to another.

[see also Sidin et al. (*Phys. Fluids* 2009) for idealized 2D synthetic turbulence simulations]

Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. (2005), 131, pp. 195–220

#### Broadening of droplet size distributions from entrainment and mixing in a cumulus cloud

By SONIA G. LASHER-TRAPP<sup>†1</sup>, WILLIAM A. COOPER<sup>2</sup> and ALAN M. BLYTH<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, USA <sup>2</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, USA <sup>3</sup>University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

#### First, run a traditional Eulerian fluid dynamics cloud model...



2. The simulated cloud used in this study. Shown is an isosurface of 0.005 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of cloud-water mixing ratio at: (a) 2, (b) 5, (c) 8 and (d) 11 minutes after the first appearance of cloud.

Lasher-Trapp et al. QJRMS 2005

#### ...second, run backward ensemble of trajectories from a selected point...



#### ...third, calculate activation and growth of cloud droplets along trajectories.



This is really nice to illustrate the role of eddy hopping for the spectral broadening, but the method is cumbersome and thus not practical.

Is there any other methodology that would work better?

Lagrangian treatment of the condensed phase! aka "Lagrangian Cloud Model", "Super-droplet method"...

The super-droplet method for the numerical simulation of clouds and precipitation: A particle-based and probabilistic microphysics model coupled with a non-hydrostatic model

S. Shima,<sup>a</sup>\* K. Kusano,<sup>c</sup> A. Kawano,<sup>a</sup> T. Sugiyama<sup>a</sup> and S. Kawahara<sup>b</sup>

#### Cloud-aerosol interactions for boundary layer stratocumulus in the Lagrangian Cloud Model

M. Andrejczuk,<sup>1</sup> W. W. Grabowski,<sup>2</sup> J. Reisner,<sup>3</sup> and A. Gadian<sup>1</sup>

Large-Eddy Simulations of Trade Wind Cumuli Using Particle-Based Microphysics with Monte Carlo Coalescence

SYLWESTER ARABAS

Institute of Geophysics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

SHIN-ICHIRO SHIMA

Graduate School of Simulation Studies, University of Hyogo, Kobe, and Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Kanagawa, Japan

#### A new method for large-eddy simulations of clouds with Lagrangian droplets including the effects of turbulent collision

T Riechelmann<sup>1,3</sup>, Y Noh<sup>2</sup> and S Raasch<sup>1</sup>

## Super-droplets (SDs)

Shima et al. (2009), Arabas et al. (2015), Hoffmann et al. (2015)

$$N_{\rm droplets} \sim 10^{11} - 10^{14}$$



Multiplicities:

$$\xi_1 = 6, \ \xi_2 = 10, \dots$$

SDs have the same attributes

$$(r, S', W', \ldots)$$

Well-mixed

## The simplest model of cloud processes: the adiabatic parcel



Grabowski and Abade, 2017: Broadening of cloud droplet spectra through eddy hopping: Turbulent adiabatic parcel simulations. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **74**, 1485-1493.

$$c_p \frac{dT}{dt} = -gw + L_v C,$$
$$da_v$$

$$\frac{dq_v}{dt} = -C,$$

T – temperature  $q_v$  – water vapor mixing ratio w – updraft speed (1 m s<sup>-1</sup>)

C – condensation rate 
$$C = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i} \frac{4}{3} \pi r_i^3 N_i \frac{\rho_w}{\rho_0}$$

 $g = 9.81 \text{ ms}^{-2} - \text{gravitational acceleration}$  $L_{\nu} = 2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ J/kg}$  - latent heat of condensation

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\rho_0 wg,$$

p – environmental pressure  $\rho_0$  – environmental density (1 kg m<sup>-3</sup>)

Cloud droplets (super-droplets; a sample of real droplets)

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{1}{r+r_0}AS$$

r – droplet radius S – supersaturation (S = q<sub>v</sub>/q<sub>vs</sub> -1)  $A = 0.9152 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  $r_0 = 1.86 \ \mu m$ 



T(t = 0) = 288.16 K, p(t = 0) = 900 hPa, and relative humidity (RH) of 99%

Spectrum of cloud droplets at t = 1000 s:



*Turbulent adiabatic parcel model*: adiabatic parcel as before, but now assumed to be filled with homogeneous isotropic turbulence.

Two parameters determining the turbulence:

dissipation rate of TKE, ε
scale (extent) of the parcel, L



turbulent kinetic energy, E

 $E = \left(\frac{L\varepsilon}{C_E}\right)^{2/3}$ 

integral time scale,  $\tau$ 

$$\tau = \frac{L}{(2\pi)^{1/3}} \left(\frac{C_{\tau}}{E}\right)^{1/2}$$

 $C_E = 0.845$  $C_\tau = 1.5$ 

$$W' \sim (L\varepsilon)^{1/3}$$

$$\tau_L \sim (L^2/\varepsilon)^{1/3}$$



Supersaturation fluctuation S' (on top of the mean S) experienced by each superdroplet:

$$\frac{dS'_i}{dt} = a_1 w' - \frac{S'_i}{\tau_{\text{relax}}} \qquad i - \text{superdroplet index}$$

$$\tau_{\text{relax}} = \left(a_2 \sum r_i N_i\right)^{-1} \quad a_2 = 2.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$$
$$a_1 = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$$

Important note: phase relaxation time is the same for all droplets. Hence, additional factors that may increase the impact (e.g., droplet concentration heterogeneities) are excluded... Vertical velocity perturbation w' is assumed to be a random stationary processes and it is evolved in time as:

$$w'(t+\delta t) = w'(t)e^{-\delta t/\tau} + \sqrt{1-e^{-2\delta t/\tau}} \,\sigma_{w'} \psi$$

$$\sigma_{w'}^2 = \frac{2}{3}E$$

*E* - turbulent kinetic energy

 $\tau$  – turbulence integral time scale

 $\Psi$  - Gaussian random number drawn every time step  $\delta t$  - model time step

 $L = 50 \text{ m}, \varepsilon = 50 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-3}$ 





 $L = 50 \text{ m}, \varepsilon = 50 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-3}$ 

Spectrum of cloud droplets at t = 1000 s:



#### No turbulence



## Spectral width and mean radius at t = 1000s as a function of L (m) for various dissipation rates (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-3</sup>)



## **Entraining cloud parcel**

stochastic entrainment events



Krueger et al., JAS, 54 (1997); Romps and Kuang, JAS, 67 (2010)

Abade, Grabowski, and Pawlowska JAS 2018 (in press)

# **Microphysical variability**

at sub-grid scales (SGS)



- Mixing
- Activation/deactivation
- Super-droplets



## LES grid box



## Stochastic activation and deactivation

Köhler curve and potential



Arabas and Shima, *NPG* 2017 Abade, Grabowski, and Pawlowska *JAS* 2018 (in press)

## Stochastic activation and deactivation

Köhler potential

#### Feedback on $\langle S \rangle$



## Supersaturation and velocity fluctuations



• Statistical model for W'(t)

Celani et al., EPL, 70 (2005); Grabowski and Abade, JAS, 74 (2017)

## **Vertical velocity fluctuations**

Stationary homogeneous isotropic turbulence

 $\langle W'(t)\rangle = 0$ 

$$\langle W'(0)W'(t)\rangle = \sigma_{W'}^2 \exp\left(-|t|/\tau_m\right)$$



Kolmogorov scaling (inertial subrange)

$$\sigma_{W'}^2 \sim (L\varepsilon)^{2/3} \qquad \tau_m \sim \frac{L^{2/3}}{\varepsilon^{1/3}}$$

after a 1-km parcel rise



after a 1-km parcel rise



after a 1-km parcel rise



after a 1-km parcel rise



## Stochastic activation and feedback on $\langle S \rangle$

#### Adiabatic parcel





## Stochastic activation and feedback on $\langle S \rangle$



# **Aerosol indirect effect**

#### induced by turbulence

 $L = 50 \text{ m}, \varepsilon = 100 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-3}$ 



fast versus slow microphysics:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S'}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{S'}{\tau_S} + aW'(t), \qquad \tau_S \sim \min\{\tau_{\mathrm{condens}}, \tau_{\mathrm{mixing}}\}$$

## Summary and outlook:

Eddy-hopping mechanism plays a significant role in widening the droplet size distribution even for a homogeneous turbulent volume provided the the volume is large enough (i.e., *L* larger than several meters).

Even stronger effect is simulated when entrainment and mixing is considered in an entraining turbulent parcel model.





Since typical grid lengths in LES cloud simulations are a few 10s of meters, the impact of eddy hoping on the droplet spectrum needs to be included. This is straightforward when the super-droplet method is used, but difficult (impossible?) for traditional Eulerian LES models.